## Microeconomic Theory II Final Exam

## Mikhael Shor

Carefully explain and support your answers.

**Question 1.** Consider a standard two-player two-type signaling game. The sender is one of two types,  $t_1$  or  $t_2$ , with the prior probability of  $t_1$  given by  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ . The sender selects a message  $m_1$  or  $m_2$  and the receiver (who observes the message but not the type) selects an action  $a_1$  or  $a_2$ .

The payoffs of the sender and receiver as a function of type, message, and, action are given by:

When sender is $t_1$			Wh	When sender is $t_2$		
	$a_1$	$a_2$		$a_1$	$a_2$	
$m_1$	50, 1	-10, 0	$m_1$	50, 0	-10, 1	
$m_2$	0, 1	-10, 0	$m_2$	0, 0	-10, 1	

- 1. Draw the extensive form of the game.
- 2. Carefully write down all pure-strategy weak perfect Bayesian equilibria (and demonstrate that these are *all* of the pure-strategy equilibria).
- 3. For each of the above equilibria, explain whether it satisfies the intuitive criterion.

**Question 2.** Consider a regular principal-agent model. The agent can choose between two effort levels,  $e \in \{L, H\}$  with associated costs of  $c \in \{0, 2\}$ . Each effort level generates one of four profits  $\pi \in \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4\}$  with associated probabilities of  $\{.2, .2, .4, .2\}$  for e = L and  $\{.1, .1, .2, .6\}$  for e = H.

The principal is risk neutral with utility function given by  $\pi - w$ , where w is the wage paid to the agent.

The agent's utility function is given by:

 $\ln w - c$ 

The principal observes  $\pi$  but not e.

- 1. Solve for the optimal incentive contract that induces low effort, e = L.
- 2. Solve for the optimal incentive contract that induces high effort, e = H.

**Question 3.** Consider a two-firm differentiated Cournot market in which the profit of each firm  $(i \neq j)$  is given by:

$$p_i(q_i, q_j)q_i - c_iq_i = (1 - q_i - \beta_i q_j - c_i)q_i$$

where  $c_i$  is the firm's marginal cost and  $\beta$  measures how similar consumers view the a firm's offerings to the offerings of its rival.

Each firm has a budget that can be used *either* to reduce its costs of production *or* to differentiate its product, but not both.

A firm  $i \neq j$  that has reduced its cost of production will have  $c_i = 0$  but  $\beta_i = 1$ , with profits of  $(1 - q_i - q_j)q_i$ 

A firm  $i \neq j$  that has differentiated its product will have  $\beta_i = 0$  but positive marginal costs with profits of  $(1 - q_i - c_i)q_i$ 

The timing of the game is in two stages. In the first stage, both firms simultaneously decide on their investment, either to reduce costs or to differentiate its product. In the second stage, after observing first-stage decisions, both firms simultaneously decide on  $q_i$ .

Assume that  $c_i = \frac{1}{4}$ . Carefully derive *all* pure-strategy subgame-perfect Nash equilibria.